Digital Transformational Leadership In Higher Education For Navigating Institutional Change In The Era Of Artificial Intelligence

[1]M. Munif
[1]IAIFA Pare, Indonesia.
[1]janoko0112233@gmail.com.

Correspodence Email: janoko0112233@gmail.com.

Abstract: *The rapid development of artificial intelligence (AI) has significantly transformed higher education institutions worldwide. Universities are required to adapt to technological changes that influence teaching, research, and administrative systems. Digital transformational leadership has become a strategic approach that enables institutional leaders to manage digital transformation while maintaining academic quality and organizational sustainability. This study aims to explore the role of digital transformational leadership in supporting institutional change within higher education in the era of artificial intelligence. The study employs a qualitative literature review method by analyzing scholarly publications related to digital leadership, AI adoption, and higher education transformation. The findings indicate that digital transformational leadership contributes to effective institutional adaptation through data-driven decision-making, innovation in teaching and learning, and the development of digital competencies among academic communities. Leadership that integrates technological awareness with transformational values enables universities to respond to technological disruption and maintain institutional resilience. The study highlights that successful digital transformation in higher education depends on visionary leadership capable of integrating artificial intelligence technologies with institutional strategies and ethical governance.*
Keywords: *Digital Leadership, Artificial Intelligence, Higher Education, Institutional Transformation, Educational Innovation*

INTRODUCTION

Technological advancement has become one of the most influential forces shaping the development of higher education in the twenty-first century. Digital technologies are transforming how universities organize teaching, research, and institutional management. Among these technologies, artificial intelligence (AI) has emerged as a powerful tool capable of reshaping academic systems and learning environments. AI applications in higher education include intelligent tutoring systems, learning analytics, automated administrative processes, and research data analysis. These innovations enable universities to improve operational efficiency and enhance

student learning experiences. Educational institutions are increasingly expected to adapt their institutional strategies in order to remain competitive and responsive to global academic trends.

Artificial intelligence is gradually becoming embedded in multiple layers of university operations. AI-driven analytics systems allow institutions to monitor student engagement, identify learning patterns, and predict academic performance. Learning management platforms integrated with AI tools provide personalized learning pathways that respond to the needs of individual students. Administrative units benefit from automation technologies that reduce manual processes and increase institutional productivity. Zawacki-Richter et al. (2019) describe AI in higher education as a rapidly growing research field that supports decision making, student support services, and instructional innovation. The expanding use of these technologies indicates that higher education institutions are entering a new phase of digital transformation that requires strategic adaptation at organizational and leadership levels.

Digital transformation within universities introduces both opportunities and challenges. The availability of large-scale educational data offers new possibilities for evidence-based decision making and strategic planning. At the same time, technological change requires universities to redesign institutional structures, professional roles, and academic practices. Faculty members must adapt to emerging digital pedagogies, while administrators must manage complex technological infrastructures. These changes highlight the need for leadership approaches capable of guiding institutions through technological disruption and organizational change.

Leadership has long been recognized as a key factor in determining the success of institutional transformation. Traditional leadership models that emphasize hierarchical authority and centralized decision making often struggle to address the complexity of digital ecosystems in higher education. Universities operate as knowledge-based organizations that depend on collaboration, academic freedom, and professional expertise. Leadership strategies must support innovation, interdisciplinary collaboration, and shared governance. Transformational leadership theory offers an important perspective for understanding how leaders inspire institutional change through vision, motivation, and organizational learning.

The concept of digital transformational leadership extends the principles of transformational leadership into the context of digital innovation. This leadership approach emphasizes the ability of leaders to integrate technological understanding with strategic institutional vision. Digital transformational leaders encourage experimentation with emerging technologies, support professional development related to digital competencies, and cultivate institutional cultures that value creativity and continuous improvement. Research suggests that digital leadership capabilities play a significant role in supporting successful technology adoption within educational organizations (Bond et al., 2020).

The emergence of artificial intelligence intensifies the importance of digital leadership within higher education. Universities that actively adopt AI technologies require leaders who understand both the potential and limitations of these systems. Institutional leaders must evaluate technological investments, support faculty adaptation to digital teaching methods, and ensure that technological innovations align with institutional missions. Effective leadership practices help universities translate technological opportunities into meaningful academic outcomes.

Artificial intelligence also raises ethical, social, and governance issues that require careful consideration. AI systems rely heavily on data collection and algorithmic processing, which may introduce risks related to privacy, data security, and algorithmic bias. Universities must establish governance frameworks that regulate the ethical use of AI in academic decision making. Educational institutions carry responsibilities not only as technology users but also as knowledge producers that shape public understanding of technological development. Selwyn (2019) emphasizes that the integration of AI in education must be critically examined in relation to ethical responsibility, social equity, and academic values.

Leadership plays a central role in establishing policies that ensure responsible AI implementation within universities. Institutional leaders are responsible for balancing technological innovation with ethical accountability. Transparent governance structures, data protection policies, and academic integrity guidelines form essential components of responsible AI adoption. Leaders who demonstrate ethical awareness and digital competence are better prepared to guide universities through complex technological transitions.

Universities currently face a strategic turning point in which digital transformation is no longer optional but essential for institutional sustainability. Artificial intelligence continues to reshape the landscape of global higher education by introducing new learning models, research methods, and administrative systems. Leadership capable of integrating digital innovation with organizational vision becomes a critical factor in determining institutional success. An examination of digital transformational leadership offers valuable insights into how universities can navigate institutional change in the era of artificial intelligence.

This study aims to analyze the role of digital transformational leadership in supporting institutional transformation within higher education environments influenced by artificial intelligence technologies. The discussion seeks to highlight leadership practices that strengthen institutional resilience, promote digital innovation, and support ethical governance in contemporary universities.

METHOD

This study employed a qualitative research design using a literature review approach to explore the role of digital transformational leadership in navigating institutional change in higher education within the era of artificial intelligence. A literature review was chosen because it enables researchers to synthesize existing knowledge, identify conceptual patterns, and develop a deeper understanding of emerging leadership practices in digital educational environments. This method is widely used in educational research to examine theoretical developments and empirical findings related to complex institutional transformations (Snyder, 2019).

The data sources consisted of peer-reviewed journal articles, academic books, and conference papers discussing digital leadership, artificial intelligence in higher education, and institutional transformation. The literature search was conducted through several academic databases, including Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar. Keywords used during the search process included *digital transformational leadership*, *artificial intelligence in higher education*, *educational leadership*, and *digital transformation*. These keywords helped identify

relevant scholarly works examining the intersection of technological innovation and leadership in higher education institutions.

The selection of literature followed specific inclusion criteria. Publications had to focus on leadership, digital transformation, or artificial intelligence within higher education contexts. Sources were limited to peer-reviewed publications to ensure academic credibility. The publication period ranged from 2018 to 2024 in order to capture recent developments in artificial intelligence technologies and their influence on higher education leadership. Articles that did not directly address leadership or institutional change were excluded from the analysis.

The collected literature was analyzed using thematic analysis. The analysis involved identifying recurring concepts and themes related to digital leadership practices, AI integration, institutional innovation, and governance issues in higher education. The thematic analysis approach allows researchers to interpret patterns within qualitative data and generate conceptual insights from multiple scholarly sources (Braun & Clarke, 2006). Through this analytical process, the study synthesizes key perspectives on how digital transformational leadership supports institutional resilience, technological adoption, and strategic decision making in universities experiencing digital transformation.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Data-Driven Decision Making for Institutional Transformation

The literature review indicates that digital transformational leadership plays a central role in strengthening institutional transformation through data-driven decision making. Artificial intelligence technologies enable higher education institutions to collect, manage, and analyze extensive volumes of educational data derived from digital learning environments, academic systems, and administrative platforms. These analytical capabilities allow institutional leaders to design policies based on empirical evidence and measurable indicators of institutional performance. Educational governance that incorporates digital analytics encourages more accurate planning, systematic monitoring of institutional progress, and improved academic accountability. Research on artificial intelligence in education highlights that AI technologies provide institutions

with advanced tools for analyzing complex educational datasets and identifying patterns in student learning and institutional performance (Chen et al., 2020).

Learning analytics has become a significant component of artificial intelligence integration in higher education institutions. Digital learning management systems collect data related to student participation, online interaction, assessment performance, and engagement in learning activities. These data streams enable universities to examine learning behavior and detect early indicators of academic difficulty. Institutional leaders can interpret such information to design targeted academic interventions that improve student success and learning outcomes. Siemens and Baker (2012) explain that learning analytics enables educational institutions to examine how learners interact with digital environments and to use those insights for improving instructional design and institutional decision making.

Predictive analytics also strengthens institutional planning processes within universities. Artificial intelligence systems can analyze historical and real-time data to forecast patterns in enrollment, academic retention, and program completion rates. Predictive insights provide university leaders with opportunities to anticipate institutional challenges and develop proactive solutions that support long-term academic sustainability. Studies on big data in higher education emphasize that predictive analytics supports evidence-based policy development and helps institutions identify strategic priorities in academic management (Daniel, 2015).

Artificial intelligence also improves operational management through automated decision-support systems. Administrative processes such as course scheduling, enrollment monitoring, and resource allocation can be supported by intelligent systems capable of analyzing institutional data. These digital infrastructures provide leaders with accurate institutional dashboards that present real-time information on operational performance. Educational governance supported by digital infrastructures enables institutions to evaluate institutional productivity and adjust policies according to measurable outcomes. Research on data governance in education indicates that data-driven management practices contribute to more transparent institutional decision-making processes and support organizational efficiency (Williamson, 2017).

The ability of leaders to interpret and apply digital information has become an essential competency within modern universities. Digital transformational leaders are expected to possess both technological understanding and strategic leadership capabilities. Leadership in digitally transforming organizations involves guiding institutional communities through complex technological environments where decisions rely heavily on data interpretation. Organizational research on digital transformation highlights that leadership in digital contexts requires the ability to translate technological insights into meaningful institutional strategies that support innovation and organizational learning (Kane et al., 2019).

Institutional agility also emerges as a significant outcome of data-driven leadership practices. Universities operate in rapidly evolving academic environments influenced by technological innovation, international competition, and changing student expectations. Artificial intelligence systems provide institutional leaders with continuous feedback regarding academic performance and institutional operations. Access to timely information allows institutions to respond rapidly to emerging challenges and adjust their strategic priorities accordingly. Research on artificial intelligence in education emphasizes that AI-supported systems help institutions strengthen adaptive capacity and improve strategic planning processes (Luckin et al., 2016).

Data-driven leadership practices also contribute to strengthening academic quality assurance systems. Universities increasingly adopt digital monitoring platforms that evaluate teaching effectiveness, research productivity, and institutional outcomes. These digital platforms generate institutional indicators that help leaders evaluate the impact of academic programs and institutional initiatives. Educational technology research suggests that the integration of learning analytics into institutional governance supports continuous institutional improvement and evidence-based policy evaluation (Ifenthaler & Yau, 2020).

The development of a data-informed organizational culture represents another important dimension of digital transformational leadership. Academic communities must develop competencies in data interpretation and digital literacy in order to participate effectively in data-driven governance systems. Leaders encourage professional development programs that support faculty and staff in understanding digital analytics and using technological tools for academic

improvement. Research on artificial intelligence in education highlights that AI technologies support new forms of collaboration among educators, researchers, and institutional leaders through shared access to digital knowledge systems (Holmes et al., 2019).

Educational transformation driven by artificial intelligence also influences the broader debate on technology and learning in contemporary universities. Digital technologies reshape how institutions design learning experiences, evaluate academic outcomes, and manage institutional knowledge. Discussions on technology and education emphasize that the integration of digital infrastructures into academic systems requires leadership approaches capable of balancing innovation with institutional responsibility (Selwyn, 2022).

The literature suggests that universities adopting data-driven leadership practices demonstrate stronger institutional resilience and improved academic performance. Artificial intelligence systems function as decision-support tools that enhance institutional awareness and support evidence-based governance. Leadership remains a critical factor in ensuring that technological data are interpreted responsibly and aligned with institutional missions.

Fostering Innovation and Digital Learning Ecosystems

The literature indicates that digital transformational leadership plays a significant role in fostering innovation and building digital learning ecosystems within higher education institutions, the rapid development of artificial intelligence and digital technologies has encouraged universities to redesign their learning environments and adopt innovative pedagogical strategies. Institutional transformation requires leaders who are able to integrate technological innovation with academic practices in order to create learning environments that respond to contemporary educational demands. Leadership that promotes digital innovation enables universities to cultivate academic cultures that value experimentation, creativity, and collaborative learning.

Artificial intelligence technologies provide universities with new opportunities to develop adaptive and personalized learning environments. AI-supported educational platforms analyze student learning behavior, participation patterns, and academic performance in order to deliver learning content tailored to individual needs. Adaptive learning technologies allow instructional systems to adjust learning materials and assessment activities according to student progress.

Research on artificial intelligence in education indicates that such systems enhance learning effectiveness and allow institutions to design flexible instructional models that support diverse learning styles (Chassignol et al., 2018). The integration of adaptive technologies reflects a broader transformation in higher education toward student-centered learning approaches supported by digital infrastructures.

Digital transformational leadership encourages faculty members to explore and experiment with innovative instructional technologies. Many educators require institutional support and professional development in order to integrate artificial intelligence tools into their teaching practices. Leadership that supports digital transformation frequently promotes training programs that strengthen digital pedagogy competencies among academic staff. These initiatives include digital teaching workshops, interdisciplinary collaboration projects, and research partnerships focused on educational innovation. Studies on technology-enhanced learning emphasize that institutional leadership significantly influences the adoption of digital innovation within teaching and learning practices (Kirkwood & Price, 2014).

Artificial intelligence technologies also support instructional innovation through intelligent tutoring systems and automated learning assistance. These systems provide real-time feedback, personalized recommendations, and guidance for students during complex learning tasks. Intelligent tutoring platforms simulate elements of human tutoring by adapting learning sequences and offering targeted support when students encounter learning difficulties. Research on intelligent tutoring systems indicates that such technologies enhance student engagement and support deeper cognitive learning processes within digital learning environments (Woolf, 2020). Automated learning assistants also help educators monitor student progress and provide timely feedback that improves learning outcomes.

Leadership plays a critical role in building digital learning ecosystems that integrate technology, pedagogy, and institutional support structures. A digital learning ecosystem refers to an interconnected educational environment in which technological platforms, institutional policies, and pedagogical approaches interact to support teaching, learning, and research activities. Universities that develop integrated digital ecosystems are able to coordinate technological

innovation with academic strategies and institutional goals. Research on digital education highlights that the development of digital learning ecosystems strengthens institutional adaptability and encourages sustainable innovation within higher education systems (Bates, 2019).

Digital ecosystems also promote collaboration and knowledge exchange across academic communities. Artificial intelligence and digital communication platforms enable educators, researchers, and students to collaborate through networked learning environments that extend beyond institutional boundaries. Collaborative digital platforms support interdisciplinary research initiatives and allow universities to engage in global knowledge sharing. Studies on networked learning environments suggest that digital collaboration platforms facilitate knowledge co-creation and support innovative teaching practices within higher education institutions (Goodyear, 2015).

Artificial intelligence technologies also contribute to instructional innovation through automated feedback systems. These systems analyze student responses and generate feedback that supports learning improvement. Automated assessment tools reduce the time required for manual evaluation and enable educators to focus on higher-order learning activities such as mentoring and critical discussion. Research on artificial intelligence in education demonstrates that intelligent feedback systems increase learning efficiency and support active engagement in digital learning environments (Roll & Wylie, 2016). Such technologies illustrate how artificial intelligence can enhance both instructional efficiency and learning effectiveness.

Institutional leadership also plays a crucial role in developing digital competencies among educators. Universities must equip faculty members with the skills necessary to design technology-enhanced learning environments. Frameworks for digital competence emphasize the importance of supporting educators in developing skills related to digital pedagogy, data literacy, and technology integration in teaching practices. Research on digital competence frameworks highlights that educators who possess strong digital competencies are better able to implement innovative learning strategies supported by digital technologies (Redecker & Punie, 2017).

Innovation-oriented leadership also supports the broader transformation of higher education systems in the context of digital society. Educational models increasingly emphasize flexible learning structures, blended learning environments, and open digital resources that support lifelong

learning opportunities. Discussions on the future of higher education suggest that digital transformation will reshape institutional structures, teaching methods, and academic collaboration in the coming decades (Salmon, 2019). Leadership capable of guiding these transformations contributes to the development of universities that are resilient, innovative, and globally connected.

The literature suggests that digital transformational leadership functions as a catalyst for innovation in higher education institutions. Leaders who promote digital experimentation and technological integration create conditions that encourage faculty creativity, collaborative research, and pedagogical innovation. Artificial intelligence technologies expand the possibilities for instructional transformation, while leadership ensures that these innovations align with institutional missions and academic values. Universities that successfully cultivate digital learning ecosystems are better positioned to respond to technological change and maintain competitiveness in the evolving global higher education landscape.

Ethical Governance and Responsible AI Implementation

The integration of artificial intelligence in higher education introduces significant ethical considerations that require responsible governance and strategic leadership. Artificial intelligence technologies depend on algorithmic processes and large-scale data collection that influence institutional decision-making, student assessment, and learning analytics. These technological capabilities create opportunities for improving academic services and institutional management. Ethical challenges related to privacy protection, data ownership, and algorithmic transparency also emerge as institutions increasingly rely on AI-supported systems. Ethical governance frameworks are required to ensure that technological innovation aligns with academic values and institutional responsibilities. Research on responsible artificial intelligence emphasizes that institutions implementing AI technologies must establish clear ethical guidelines to manage risks related to data misuse and algorithmic decision making (Floridi et al., 2018).

Artificial intelligence applications in higher education frequently involve extensive data collection from learning management systems, digital platforms, and institutional databases. These data sources include student learning behaviors, academic performance indicators, and patterns of digital interaction. Such information supports predictive analytics and personalized learning

systems. The use of these datasets raises concerns regarding privacy protection and the responsible handling of personal data. Universities must implement governance mechanisms that regulate data storage, access, and processing practices. Studies on data ethics in artificial intelligence highlight the need for transparent data governance models that protect individual rights while enabling institutions to benefit from technological innovation (Jobin et al., 2019).

Digital transformational leaders play a central role in establishing ethical policies for artificial intelligence implementation. Leadership within technologically advanced institutions involves guiding organizations through complex ethical challenges associated with algorithmic systems and automated decision-making processes. Institutional leaders must ensure that artificial intelligence technologies are aligned with institutional missions and educational goals. Responsible leadership requires the development of regulatory frameworks that promote transparency, accountability, and fairness in technological systems. Ethical leadership practices help institutions balance technological advancement with the protection of human values and academic integrity (Dignum, 2019).

Algorithmic bias represents another critical ethical concern related to artificial intelligence systems. AI technologies learn from historical data that may contain embedded social or institutional biases. When these biased datasets are used to train algorithms, automated systems may produce discriminatory outcomes that influence academic decisions such as admissions, student evaluation, or resource allocation. Higher education institutions must develop monitoring mechanisms that evaluate algorithmic fairness and detect potential biases within AI systems. Research on fairness in machine learning emphasizes that organizations must continuously evaluate automated systems in order to ensure equitable outcomes across diverse populations (Barocas et al., 2019).

Responsible AI governance also requires institutional transparency regarding how algorithmic systems operate within academic environments. Stakeholders including students, faculty members, and administrators should understand how artificial intelligence technologies influence learning processes and institutional decision-making systems. Transparent governance practices help academic communities develop trust in technological infrastructures. Discussions

on trustworthy artificial intelligence highlight that transparency and accountability are essential components of ethical technology adoption within public institutions such as universities (European Commission, 2021).

Awareness and digital literacy among academic communities also represent important elements of ethical governance. Faculty members, administrators, and students must understand the ethical implications of algorithmic technologies in order to engage responsibly with AI-supported systems. Educational initiatives that promote ethical awareness help academic communities critically evaluate technological systems and understand their potential consequences. Research on artificial intelligence in education indicates that institutions should integrate ethical technology education into professional development programs in order to strengthen responsible technological engagement (Williamson & Eynon, 2020).

Artificial intelligence technologies also raise broader societal concerns related to inequality and the influence of automated systems on social structures. Scholars have argued that algorithmic systems can unintentionally reinforce existing social inequalities if they rely on biased datasets or opaque decision-making processes. Discussions on the societal implications of big data technologies emphasize that institutions must critically examine the ethical impact of automated systems before implementing them in public decision-making contexts (O'Neil, 2016).

Responsible innovation frameworks offer guidance for institutions seeking to implement artificial intelligence technologies ethically. These frameworks encourage organizations to consider social responsibility, stakeholder engagement, and long-term societal impacts when adopting emerging technologies. Responsible innovation emphasizes that technological development should be guided by ethical reflection and participatory governance processes. Studies on ethical innovation highlight that institutions adopting responsible innovation principles are better prepared to manage the societal implications of advanced technologies (Stahl et al., 2021).

Ethical considerations also extend to the broader philosophical foundations of fairness and justice in algorithmic systems. Scholars examining fairness in automated decision-making emphasize that algorithmic technologies must reflect normative principles that support social

justice and equality. Ethical frameworks derived from political philosophy provide guidance for evaluating the fairness of machine learning systems and their implications for institutional governance (Binns, 2018).

The literature indicates that ethical governance is an essential dimension of digital transformational leadership in higher education. Leaders who integrate ethical considerations into digital transformation strategies contribute to building responsible technological ecosystems within academic institutions. Artificial intelligence technologies offer powerful tools for improving institutional performance and learning outcomes. Ethical governance ensures that these technologies operate in ways that respect human values, promote fairness, and maintain institutional trust. Universities that adopt responsible AI governance frameworks are better positioned to sustain innovation while protecting the fundamental principles of higher education.

CONCLUSION

Artificial intelligence has become a transformative force shaping the future of higher education institutions. Universities are increasingly required to respond to rapid technological development that influences teaching practices, research activities, and institutional governance. In this context, digital transformational leadership plays a crucial role in guiding institutions through complex processes of digital transformation. Leadership approaches that integrate technological understanding with strategic institutional vision allow universities to respond effectively to technological disruption. The findings of this study indicate that digital transformational leadership supports institutional adaptation by promoting data-driven decision making, encouraging innovation in teaching and learning, and strengthening digital competencies among academic communities. These leadership practices contribute to the development of resilient institutions capable of navigating ongoing technological change.

The study also highlights the importance of ethical governance and responsible technology management in the implementation of artificial intelligence within higher education. Institutional leaders must ensure that AI technologies are aligned with academic values, transparency principles, and data protection standards. Universities that invest in leadership development

programs focused on digital literacy, innovation management, and ethical governance will be better prepared to face future challenges in the evolving educational landscape. Strengthening digital leadership capacities will enable higher education institutions to maximize the potential of artificial intelligence while maintaining institutional sustainability and educational quality in the digital era.

REFERENCE

Barocas, S., Hardt, M., & Narayanan, A. (2019). *Fairness and machine learning: Limitations and opportunities*. MIT Press.

Bates, A. W. (2019). *Teaching in a digital age: Guidelines for designing teaching and learning* (2nd ed.). BCcampus.

Binns, R. (2018). Fairness in machine learning: Lessons from political philosophy. *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency*, 149–159. https://doi.org/10.1145/3287560.3287598

Bond, M., Zawacki-Richter, O., & Nichols, M. (2020). Revisiting five decades of educational technology research: A content and authorship analysis of the British Journal of Educational Technology. *British Journal of Educational Technology, 51*(1), 12–63. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12730

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3*(2), 77–101. https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa

Chassignol, M., Khoroshavin, A., Klimova, A., & Bilyatdinova, A. (2018). Artificial intelligence trends in education: A narrative overview. *Procedia Computer Science, 136*, 16–24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2018.08.233

Chen, L., Chen, P., & Lin, Z. (2020). Artificial intelligence in education: A review. *IEEE Access, 8*, 75264–75278. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2988510

Daniel, B. (2015). Big data and analytics in higher education: Opportunities and challenges. *British Journal of Educational Technology, 46*(5), 904–920. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12230

Dignum, V. (2019). *Responsible artificial intelligence: How to develop and use AI in a responsible way*. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-30371-6

European Commission. (2021). *Ethics guidelines for trustworthy artificial intelligence*. Publications Office of the European Union.

Floridi, L., Cowls, J., Beltrametti, M., Chatila, R., Chazerand, P., Dignum, V., … Vayena, E. (2018). AI4People—An ethical framework for a good AI society. *Minds and Machines, 28*(4), 689–707. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11023-018-9482-5

Goodyear, P. (2015). Teaching as design. *HERDSA Review of Higher Education, 2*, 27–50.

Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2019). *Artificial intelligence in education: Promises and implications for teaching and learning*. Center for Curriculum Redesign.

Ifenthaler, D., & Yau, J. Y. (2020). Utilising learning analytics for study success: Reflections on current empirical findings. *Research and Practice in Technology Enhanced Learning, 15*(21). https://doi.org/10.1186/s41039-020-00132-x

Jobin, A., Ienca, M., & Vayena, E. (2019). The global landscape of AI ethics guidelines. *Nature Machine Intelligence, 1*(9), 389–399. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42256-019-0088-2

Kane, G. C., Phillips, A. N., Copulsky, J., & Andrus, G. (2019). How digital leadership is evolving in organizations. *MIT Sloan Management Review, 60*(3), 1–5.

Kirkwood, A., & Price, L. (2014). Technology-enhanced learning and teaching in higher education: A critical literature review. *Learning, Media and Technology, 39*(1), 6–36. https://doi.org/10.1080/17439884.2013.770404

Luckin, R., Holmes, W., Griffiths, M., & Forcier, L. (2016). *Intelligence unleashed: An argument for AI in education*. Pearson.

O'Neil, C. (2016). *Weapons of math destruction: How big data increases inequality and threatens democracy*. Crown Publishing.

Redecker, C., & Punie, Y. (2017). European framework for the digital competence of educators. *European Commission Joint Research Centre*. https://doi.org/10.2760/159770

Roll, I., & Wylie, R. (2016). Evolution and revolution in artificial intelligence in education. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education, 26*(2), 582–599. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40593-016-0110-3

Salmon, G. (2019). May the fourth be with you: Creating education 4.0. *Journal of Learning for Development, 6*(2), 95–115.

Selwyn, N. (2019). Should robots replace teachers? Artificial intelligence and the future of education. Cambridge, UK: Polity Press.

Selwyn, N. (2022). *Education and technology: Key issues and debates*. Bloomsbury Academic.

Siemens, G., & Baker, R. S. (2012). Learning analytics and educational data mining. *Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Learning Analytics and Knowledge*, 252–254. https://doi.org/10.1145/2330601.2330661

Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal of Business Research, 104*, 333–339. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.039

Stahl, B. C., Wright, D., & Ikonen, V. (2021). Ethics of AI in education: Towards a community-wide framework. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education, 31*(3), 504–526. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40593-020-00239-1

Tsai, Y. S., Moreno-Marcos, P. M., Tammets, K., Kollom, K., & Gašević, D. (2020). Shedding light on learning analytics adoption in higher education. *The Internet and Higher Education, 46*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2020.100758

Williamson, B. (2017). *Big data in education: The digital future of learning, policy and practice*. SAGE Publications.

Williamson, B., & Eynon, R. (2020). Historical threads, missing links, and future directions in AI in education. *Learning, Media and Technology, 45*(3), 223–235. https://doi.org/10.1080/17439884.2020.1798995

Woolf, B. P. (2020). *Building intelligent interactive tutors: Student-centered strategies for revolutionizing e-learning*. Morgan Kaufmann.

Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education: Where are the educators? *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 16*(39). https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-019-0171-0